1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICKAIL MYLES, an individual,	Case No. 3:15-cv-01985-JAH-BLM
12	Plaintiff,	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
13	v.	
14	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, by and through the SAN DIEGO COUNTY	
15	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a public entity; and DEPUTY J. BANKS, an individual,	
16	individual,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case unanimously find as follows:	
20	Section I	
21	Excessive Force	
22	A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks' use of the	
23	police canine on Mr. Myles was in violation of the Fourth Amendment and a	
24	cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defin	
25	Yes:	No:
26	Proceed to question B.	
27		
28		
l	.1	

Case No. 3:15-cv-01985-JAH-BLM

- 1			
1	B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks' use of		
2	physical force on Mr. Myles was in violation of the Fourth Amendment and a		
3	cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?		
4	Yes: No:		
5	Proceed to question C.		
6	C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks' actions		
7	resulted in the false imprisonment of Mr. Myles in violation of the Fourth		
8	Amendment and a cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's		
9	Instructions?		
0	Yes: No:		
.1	If you answered "Yes" to question A, B, or C, proceed to Section II. If you		
2	answered "No" to questions A, B, and C, proceed to Section IV below.		
3	Liability of the County of San Diego		
4			
5	A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Myles proved his		
6			
7			
8	Instructions?		
9	Yes: No:		
20	B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Myles proved his		
21	1983 Claim against the County of San Diego that a failure to train was a cause		
21 22 23	of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?		
23	Yes: _ \(\sigma \) No:		
24	C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Myles proved his		
25	1983 Claim against the County of San Diego based on ratification and was a		
26	cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?		
27	Yes: No:		
28			

1	Proceed to Section III.		
2	Section III		
3	Interference with Civil Rights (Bane Act)		
4	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks was in		
5	violation of California Civil Code 52.1 and caused Plaintiff's injury as defined in the		
6	Court's Instructions?		
7	Yes: No:		
8	Proceed to Section IV.		
9	Section IV		
10	Negligence		
11	A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks was		
12	negligent with regard to Mr. Myles?		
13	Yes: No:		
14	Proceed to Question B.		
15	B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the County of San Diego		
16	was negligent with regard to Deputy Banks?		
17	Yes: No:		
18	If you answered "Yes" as to either A and/or B, please answer question C. If		
19	you answered "No", proceed to Section V.		
20			
21	C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the negligence of Deputy		
22	Banks and/or the County of San Diego was a cause of Plaintiff's injuries as		
23	defined in the Court's Instructions?		
24	Yes: No:		
25	If you answered "Yes" to Question C, please answer question D. If you		
26	answered "No", proceed to Section V.		
27	D. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Myles was		
28	negligent?		

1	Yes: No:			
2	Proceed to Question E.			
3	E. Was Mr. Myles negligent in causing his injury?			
4	Yes: No:			
5	Proceed to Question F.			
6	F. If you find that Mr. Myles has some degree of negligence, what percentage of			
7	responsibility do you assign to each party?			
8	Mr. Myles:%			
9	Deputy Banks:%			
10	County of San Diego:%			
11	TOTAL: 100%			
12	Proceed to Section V.			
13	Section V			
14	If you responded "Yes" to any question in Section I, II, III, or IV(C), proceed			
15	to the damages question. If you answered "No" to all of the questions, sign and return			
16				
17	What are Mickail Myles' damages:			
18	Past non-economic loss \$ 800,000 -			
19	Future non-economic loss \$ 4.2 Million			
20	ruture non-economic loss \$\frac{t\range{t\range}}{c}			
21	Dated: October 1, 2022 By			
22	Foreperson			
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				

4

Case No. 3:15-cv-01985-JAH-BLM